



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/780,264	02/16/2004	Mario I. Wolczko	188073/US	2218
<div>66083 7590 05/14/2009</div> <div>DORSEY & Whitney LLP</div> <div>on behalf of Sun Microsystems, Inc.</div> <div>370 SEVENTEENTH ST.</div> <div>SUITE 4700</div> <div>DENVER, CO 80202-5647</div>				
			EXAMINER	
			YIGDALL, MICHAEL J	
		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		2192		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		05/14/2009 PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/780,264	WOLCZKO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Michael J. Yigdall	2192	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Michael J. Yigdall. (3) ____.
- (2) Robert M. Tuttle (Reg. No. 54,504). (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 11 May 2009.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.
 If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1.

Identification of prior art discussed: Chrysos (U.S. Patent No. 6,000,044), Kalafatis (U.S. Patent No. 7,448,025).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's representative and the examiner discussed the formal written reply to the last Office action filed on May 4, 2009. The examiner plans to fully consider the amendments and remarks presented in the reply.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Michael J. Yigdall/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2192